



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/784,899	02/24/2004	Zi-Kui Liu	59516-052	1022	
20277	7590 01/20/2006		EXAMINER		
	OTT WILL & EMERY	TALBOT, BRIAN K			
600 13TH ST WASHINGT	REET, N.W. ON, DC 20005-3096		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
77.10.11.70.10.1, 20 20000 2000			1762	1762	
	-				

DATE MAILED: 01/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/784,899	LIU ET AL.	LIU ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Brian K. Talbot	1762			

	Brian K. Talbot	1762	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>30 December 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beappeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); tter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); ducing or simplifying	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all 	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co:	·	
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio 	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	hed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	Adam NOT days the second second		·
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. ⊠ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s). <u>12/15/05</u>	
		Brian K Talbot Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1762	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See reasonings given in the Final Rejection filed 9/2/05. The Affadavit filed 12/30/05 has been considered but not found persuasive as the claims are not commensurate in scope with the Affadavit. The Affadavit recites in section 7 that the magnesium vapor pressure is critical for successful deposition of magnesium diboride on silicon substrates as defined by Fig. 1 and specification pg. 6, line 27 - pg. 7, line 6. The claims do not recite this critical element. If Applicant were to provide claims commensurate in scope with the arguments/showing, the Examiner will reconsider his position.

BRIAN K. TALBOT PRIMARY EXAMINER